Recipient: San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority

Contact: Amy Hutzel, State Coastal Conservancy

ahutzel@scc.ca.gov, 510-286-4180

Research Project: Feasibility Assessment of Specific Local Funding Mechanisms for Bay

Wetland Restoration

Summary

The San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority (Authority) is a new regional special district. It has been established to raise local funds for San Francisco Bay wetland restoration projects and associated flood management and public access, and then grant those funds to projects that advance the *Baylands Ecosystem Habitat Goals*, and are consistent with the priorities of the San Francisco Bay Area Conservancy, the Bay Conservation and Development Commission's coastal management plan, and the San Francisco Bay Joint Venture's Implementation Strategy.

The Authority's Board of Directors held its first meeting on Earth Day, April 22, 2009. The Chair of the Authority's Board is Sam Schuchat, who is Executive Director of the State Coastal Conservancy. The Association of Bay Area Governments and State Coastal Conservancy are providing staff support to the Authority. However, the Authority does not have start-up funds to complete essential research on the feasibility of specific funding mechanisms, and where in the Bay Area those mechanisms could be successfully implemented. The Authority is a public agency, and is explicitly authorized in statute to solicit and accept gifts, fees, grants, and allocations from public and private entities.

Background

The San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority was established by the passage of Assembly Bill 2954 in 2008, in response to recommendations made in Save The Bay's report, *Greening the Bay: Financing Wetlands Restoration in San Francisco Bay* (2007). The Authority is a regional special district, with the power to propose local funding measures and grant funds raised to projects that restore Bay wetlands, and to support public access and flood management in connection with those restoration projects. The Authority's Board of Directors held its first meeting on Earth Day, April 22, 2009 (see Board list below).

The authority is a regional special district, not a state agency. It was established without any initial funding for its operations from the state or other sources. Future operations may be funded through a small percentage of income from approved assessments or taxes, but those are not yet in place. The Authority is explicitly authorized to apply for and receive funds from public and private entities.

Governing Board

Chair: Samuel Schuchat, Executive Officer, California State Coastal Conservancy

(510) 286-4185

West Bay: Phil Ting, Assessor Recorder, City and County of San Francisco

East Bay: **John Gioia**, Supervisor, County of Contra Costa North Bay: **Charles McGlashan**, Supervisor, County of Marin South Bay: **Rosanne Foust**, Mayor, City of Redwood City

Bayside City/County: Dave Cortese, Supervisor, County of Santa Clara

Bayside City/Park District: John Sutter, Director, East Bay Regional Park District

Proposed Project Furthers Bay Fund Goals

Wetlands are the lungs of the Bay, providing habitat and giving life to hundreds of fish and wildlife species and billions of small organisms that thrive in Bay mud to form the base of the food chain. Wetlands provide major benefits to the community including improved water quality, natural flood control from storm surges and sea level rise, open space and recreation.

In the *Baylands Ecosystem Habitat Goals*, scientists recommend that 100,000 acres of tidal wetlands be re-established for a healthy and sustainable Bay. In 1999 when the report was published, there were only about 40,000 acres of tidal wetlands remaining around the Bay. Today, about 13,000 acres of wetlands have been restored and over 36,000 more acres of restorable Bay shoreline is in public ownership and proposed for restoration. The ambitious 100,000 acre goal is in sight. However, one of biggest barriers to achieving this goal is consistent, long-term funding to restore the wetlands.

The Authority's charter is to generate and allocate resources for the protection and enhancement of tidal wetlands in the Bay. Investing in the Authority's feasibility assessment for future action directly furthers the Bay Fund's goal to improve the long-term sustainability of the Bay by ensuring progress on restoring 100,000 acres of tidal marsh wetlands. This project will build the Authority's capacity to embark on its mission of raising funds to impact significant restoration efforts. In addition, this project closely aligns with the Fund's proposed focus for the final cycle on advancing restoration projects connected to established habitat conservation goals and broader restoration efforts in the region.

Proposed Project Scope

\$100,000 from the Bay Fund <u>could leverage resources that are greater by several orders of magnitude</u> in the following years. The Bay Fund investment would allow the Authority to complete research over the next six to twelve months on the best funding mechanisms and geographic locations to propose to local residents for their approval. This pathway can lead to much larger sums of local public funding flowing steadily into the Authority, which can then grant them to support Bay wetland restoration over the next two decades.

Bay Fund resources would be used by the Authority to pursue this research by engaging technical advice from consultants with expertise in the relevant statutes, municipal finance, and survey research, including:

- Match priority restoration project needs by sub-region with potential funding mechanisms
- Analyze property base, ownership and voter statistics in the nine-county region of the Authority's jurisdiction, and sub-regions
- Identify preferred funding measure through survey research
- Analyze timing and resources necessary to pass funding mechanisms.
- Identify state and federal matching fund opportunities to potential local funding streams
- Identify legal and procedural requirements for preferred funding mechanisms and public finance opportunities and constraints
- Offer recommendations for implementation by the Authority's Governing Board.

Project and Organizational Budget

In 2009, the Authority will have the opportunity to direct research into various strategic approaches to securing long-term consistent funding for wetland restoration. In 2009, we anticipate needing up to \$200,000 to complete the required analysis, research and administration to define the Authority's specific work plan for the next several years. The 2009 project proposal is as follows:

1	
\$90,000	Identify preferred funding measure through survey research; analysis of property base, ownership and voter statistics in the nine-county region of the Authority's jurisdiction, and sub regions
\$50,000	Research, analysis of funding options, perhaps by professional firm; legal consultation
\$60,000	Includes Board support, legal compliance, contract administration, clerical, Website development, and supplies. Some portion of these functions may be provided by the Association of Bay Area Governments, State Coastal Conservancy or other organization.
£200 000	
_	\$50,000

Also included are two *projected* 5-year income and expense organizational budgets based on potential strategic approaches. Income and expense projections for these scenarios come from a preliminary funding options report from SCI Consulting Group, Inc. commissioned by Save The Bay. This report will be submitted to the Authority in final form at its July 29, 2009, meeting.

Scenario 1 is based on the Authority pursuing a regional 9-county parcel tax.

Scenario 2 is based on proposing a parcel tax on a county-by-county basis. In this scenario, for illustrative purposes, we have focused on proposing a parcel tax for the counties that are home to the South Bay Salt Pond Project.

These two sample organizational budgets assume that the Authority will propose funding mechanisms in 2010. A more likely scenario may be proposing a funding mechanism to voters in 2012. The Authority's final organizational budget will depend on the Governing Board's approval of which finance options to pursue, geographic focus, timing, and the resources necessary to propose various mechanisms. These decisions will be made based on large part from the research outlined in this proposed scope of project.

ROJECT
ROPOSED P
FUND - PF
CISCO BAY
SAN FRANC

San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority	rity						
SCENARIO ONE: Projected 5-Year Income and Expense Budget - 9-County Regional Vote	come and Expense	Budget - 9-Count	ty Regional Vote				
INCOME							
	No. of Taxable						
	Parcels	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	TOTAL
Option One							
9-County Parcel Tax at \$4/Parcel	1,741,000	\$0	\$0	\$6,964,000	\$6,964,000	\$6,964,000	\$20,892,000
	- Avening						
Option 1 Wo							
9-County Parcel Tax at \$8/Parcel	1,741,000	\$0	\$0	\$13,928,000	\$13,928,000	\$13,928,000	\$41,784,000
Ordina Thurs							
Option Inree							
9-County Parcel Tax at \$15/Parcel	1,741,000	\$0	90	\$26,115,000	\$26,115,000	\$26,115,000	\$78,345,000
EXPENSE							
		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	TOTAL
Survey Research		\$90,000	\$0	\$0	80	\$0	\$90,000
9-County Election*		\$0	\$10,265,345	\$0	0\$	\$0	\$10,265,345
Professional Consulting		\$50,000	\$500,000	0\$	\$0	80	\$550,000
Administration**		\$60,000	\$63,000	\$66,000	\$69,500	\$73,000	\$331,500
Total Expense		\$200 000	\$10 828 345	000 888	009 099	000 010	110000
		00000	20,040,010	000,000	000,600	000,674	C#0'0C7'11¢
* Per-county election cost high estimate by SCI Consulting. Note that it is possible that some, or even all, of the counties would choose to allow the Authority to add its measure to the General Election ballot at no cost	by SCI Consulting. Neral Flection ballot at	Note that it is possi	ble that some, or	even all, of the cou	inties would choo	se to allow the	
							AND
							The state of the s
". Includes board support, legal compliance, contract administration, clerical, Website development, and supplies. Most of these functions will be provided by the Association of Bay Area Governents and State Coastal Conservancy	nce, contract adminitions of the States and	stration, clerical, W ate Coastal Conser	Vebsite developme	int, and supplies.	Most of these fun	ctions will be	

No. of Taxable No. of No. of Taxable No. of No. of Taxable No. of	13 1 142,000 944,000 944,000 824,000 824,000 8295,000 940,000 \$ 1745,000 \$ 50 \$50 \$73,000 \$73,000 \$73,000	SCENARIO TWO: Projected 5-Year Incon	ome and Expense	Budget - County-b	v-County Vote (S	South Bay Salt Po	ond Restoration	Focus)	
No. of Taxable No. of Taxable No. of Taxable No. of Taxable Parcels 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 1	\$0 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$2,944,0								
roel Tax 383,000 \$6 \$1,532,000 \$1,632,000	\$0	NCCOME.	No. of Taxable						
roe! Tax 383,000 \$0 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,632,000 \$1,632,000 \$1,632,000 \$1,632,000 \$1,632,000 \$1,632,000 \$1,642,000	\$0 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,412,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,412,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,412,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,412,000 \$1,502,000 \$1,502,000 \$1,502,000 \$1,502,000 \$1,502,000 \$1,502,000 \$1,502,000 \$1,502,000 \$1,002,0		Parcels	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	TOTAL
Total Income 383,000 \$0 \$0 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,	\$0 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$0 \$1,532,000 \$0 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$2,944,000 \$2,944,000 \$3,064,000 \$3	Option One: \$4 Parcel Tax							
rotal Income \$0 \$0 \$1,532,000 \$1,412,000 rotal Income \$0 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$2,944,000 Farcel Tax 383,000 \$0 \$0 \$3,064,000 \$3,064,000 \$2,844,000 Parcel Tax 383,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,745,000	\$0 \$0 \$1,412,000 \$0 \$1,532,000 \$1,412,000 \$0 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$2,944,000 \$0 \$3,064,000 \$3,064,000 \$3,064,000 \$3,064,000 \$0 \$3,064,000 \$5,2824,000 \$5,2824,000 \$6,2824,000 \$0 \$3,064,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,295,000 \$6,295,000 \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,295,000 \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,295,000 \$6 \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5 \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5 \$0 \$5 \$0 \$5 \$0 \$0 \$180,596 \$6 \$66,000 \$66,000 \$66,000 \$66,000 \$66,000 <	Santa Clara County	383,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,532,000	\$1,532,000	\$1,532,000	\$4,596,000
Total Income \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$2,944,000 \$3,064,000 \$	\$0 \$1,532,000 \$1,532,000 \$2,944,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Alameda County	353,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	0\$	\$1,412,000	\$1,412,000
Total Income 353,000 \$0 \$0 \$3,064,000 \$3,064,000 \$3,064,000 \$3,064,000 \$3,064,000 \$3,064,000 \$3,064,000 \$5,282,	\$0	Total Income		\$0	\$0	\$1,532,000	\$1,532,000	\$2,944,000	\$6,008,000
Total Income 383,000 \$0 \$0 \$3,064,000 \$3,064,000 \$2,824,	\$0 \$3.064,000 \$3.064,000 \$3.064,000 \$3.064,000 \$5.824,000 \$5.824,000 \$5.745,0	Option Two: \$8 Parcel Tax			4				
Total Income 353,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$3,064,000 \$3,064,000 \$5,888,000 \$\$ \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$\$ \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$\$ \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$\$ \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$\$ \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$\$ \$0	Santa Clara County	383,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,064,000	\$3,064,000	\$3,064,000	\$9,192,000
Parcel Tax \$0 \$1,064,000 \$5,084,000 \$5,888,000 \$5,888,000 \$5,888,000 \$5,888,000 \$5,888,000 \$5,888,000 \$5,745,000	\$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$ \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$ \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,000	Alameda County	353,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,824,000	\$2,824,000
Parcel Tax \$0 \$5,745,000	\$0	Total Income	, contract of	\$0	0\$	\$3,064,000	\$3,064,000	\$5,888,000	\$12,016,000
Total Income 383,000 \$0 \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,	\$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$	Option Three: \$15 Parcel Tax					TO A COLUMN TO THE COLUMN TO T		
Total Income \$6 \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,295,000 \$5 Total Income \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$11,040,000 \$11,040,000 Total Expense \$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$11,040,000 \$11,040,000 Total Expense \$0 \$0 \$11,040,000 \$0 \$11,040,000 \$11,040,	\$0 \$5,745,000 \$5,745,000 \$11,040,000 \$\$ \$010 \$2011 \$2012 \$2013 \$1 \$0 \$5,745,000 \$11,040,000 \$\$ \$0 \$5,745,000 \$11,040,000 \$\$ \$0 \$011 \$2012 \$2013 \$1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0,000 \$0 \$0 \$0,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0,000 \$0 \$0 \$0,000 \$0 \$0 \$0,000 \$0 \$0 \$0,000 \$0	Santa Clara County	383,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,745,000	\$5,745,000	\$5,745,000	\$17,235,000
Total Income \$0 \$5,745,000 \$11,040,000 \$ Total Expense \$0 \$5,745,000 \$11,040,000 \$	\$0 \$5,745,000 \$1,040,000 \$\$ 010 2011 2012 2013	Alameda County	353,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	0\$	\$5,295,000	\$5,295,000
2010 2011 2012 2013	\$0.00 \$0.012 \$0.013 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1.80,596 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$20,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$250,000 \$0 \$250,000 \$0 \$63,000 \$66,000 \$69,500 \$73,000 \$493,596 \$66,000 \$866,860 \$73,000 \$50me, or even all, of the counties would choose to allow the \$60,500 \$60,500	Total Income		0\$	\$	\$5,745,000	\$5,745,000	\$11,040,000	\$22,530,000
tion* \$90,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$010 2011 2012 2013 7 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,180,596 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$250,000 \$0 \$250,000 \$0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>								
tion* **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to a source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to the General Election ballot at no cost.** **Source to a source to a s	\$0 \$0.000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	EXPENSE						The state of the s	
rition* \$90,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 n* \$0 \$3,180,596 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 n* \$0 \$250,000 \$0 \$250,000 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$			2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	TOTAL
tition* n* \$\psi\$ \ \psi\$ \psi\$ \psi\$ \qua	3,180,596 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Survey Research		\$90,000	\$0	0\$	0\$	C\$	000 06\$
n* \$0 \$0 \$547,360 \$0 \$50,000 \$250,000 \$0 \$250,000 \$0 Total Expense \$60,000 \$63,000 \$66,000 \$69,500 \$73,000 St high estimate by SCI Consulting. Note that it is possible that some, or even all, of the counties would choose to allow the sure to the General Election ballot at no cost. \$3,493,596 \$66,000 \$866,860 \$73,000	\$60,000 \$0 \$547,360 \$0 \$0 \$550,000 \$0 \$0 \$250,000 \$0 \$250,000 \$0 \$0 \$250,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Santa Clara County Election*		\$0	\$3,180,596	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,180,596
\$50,000 \$250,000 \$0 \$250,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$63,000 \$66,000 \$73,000 \$73,000 \$73,000 \$3,493,596 \$66,000 \$866,860 \$73,000 \$7	Alameda County Election*		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$547,360	\$0	\$547,360
Total Expense \$60,000 \$63,000 \$66,000 \$65,000 \$73,000 Ston cost high estimate by SCI Consulting. Note that it is measure to the General Election ballot at no cost. \$3,493,596 \$66,000 \$866,860 \$73,000	\$63,000 \$66,000 \$69,500 \$73,000 3,493,596 \$66,000 \$866,860 \$73,000 \$ \$800,500 \$73,000 \$ \$800,500 \$73,000 \$ \$ \$100,000 \$ \$	Professional Consulting		\$50,000	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000	\$0	\$550,000
\$200,000 \$3,493,596 \$66,000 \$866,860 \$73,000 it is possible that some, or even all, of the counties would choose to allow the	3,493,596 \$66,000 \$866,860 \$73,000 t some, or even all, of the counties would choose to allow the development, and supplies. Most of these functions will be	Administration**		\$60,000	\$63,000	\$66,000	\$69,500	\$73,000	\$331,500
* Per-county election cost high estimate by SCI Consulting. Note that it is possible that some, or even all, of the counties would choose to allow the Authority to add its measure to the General Election ballot at no cost.	* Per-county election cost high estimate by SCI Consulting. Note that it is possible that some, or even all, of the counties would choose to allow the Authority to add its measure to the General Election ballot at no cost. ** Includes Board support, legal compliance, contract administration, clerical, Website development, and supplies. Most of these functions will be	Total Expense		\$200,000	\$3,493,596	\$66,000	\$866,860	\$73,000	\$4,699,456
Authority to add its measure to the General Election ballot at no cost.	Authority to add its measure to the General Election ballot at no cost. ** Includes Board support, legal compliance, contract administration, clerical, Website development, and supplies. Most of these functions will be	* Per-county election cost high estimate b	y SCI Consulting. N	ote that it is possib	le that some, or e	ven all, of the cou	nties would choos	se to allow the	
	** Includes Board support, legal compliance, contract administration, clerical, Website development, and supplies. Most of these functions will be	Authority to add its measure to the Gener	al Election ballot at	no cost.		OVER MOTOR STANLEY	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	** Includes Board support, legal compliance, contract administration, clerical, Website development, and supplies. Most of these functions will be	and and a second							